

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/773,608	02/06/2004	Michael G. Fisher	021976-000200US		
20350	7590 03/20/2006		EXAMINER		
	D AND TOWNSEND	HOFFMAN, MARY C			
EIGHTH FLO	RCADERO CENTER OOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANC	ISCO, CA 94111-3834	ļ.	3733		

DATE MAILED: 03/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				80)		
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/773,60	08	FISHER ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Mary Hoffi		3733			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears on the	cover sheet with the d	correspondence address	-		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum street or reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF THE S of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even munication. It tutory period will apply and will will, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tir Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commun (D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .					
•	•	2b)⊠ This action is n	on-final.				
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)	Claim(s) 1-114 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-114 are subject to restrict	are withdrawn from co					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to the specific sheet of the specific s	e: a) accepted or b) ection to the drawing(s) b g the correction is requir	ne held in abeyance. Se red if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.			
,	-						
•	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	. for foreign mainthe	dor 25 11 0 0 0 440/-	a) (d) or (f)			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internations See the attached detailed Office actions	y documents have been to documents have been to documents have been to find the priority documents on all Bureau (PCT Ru	en received en received in Applicat ents have been receiv le 17.2(a)).	tion No ed in this National Stag	le		
Attachmer	nt(s)						
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 cer No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail E 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:)		

Application/Control Number: 10/773,608

Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-80, drawn to a device for knee surgery, classified in class 606, subclass 88.
- II. Claims 81-114, drawn to a methods for facilitating a surgical procedure on a knee, classified in class 606, subclass 86.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, such as the apparatus can be used in a method in which the knee is kept stationary

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,608 Page 3

Art Unit: 3733

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

A. Figs. 1A-2C

B. Figs. 3A-3B, 4A-4B

C. Fig. 3C

The species are independent or distinct. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims 1 and 42 appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Application/Control Number: 10/773,608

Art Unit: 3733

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/773,608

Art Unit: 3733

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary Hoffman whose telephone number is 571-272-5566. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo C. Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

мсн М

EDUARDO C. ROBERT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER